

SHNA BOARD MEETING

13 September 2011

Approved Minutes

BOARD ATTENDANCE: Jeff Schneider, Jeannette Tierney, Stan Fuka, Greg Hull, , Shary Bisgard, Bill Fitzpatrick, Jackie Csedo, Janet Loewi, Kim McBride, Susanna Daniel

GENERAL ATTENDANCE

Bill Whisenant, Liz Frieitick, Jeff Turner, Herman Felstehausen, Tom Favour, Don McCaulay, Dick Wilson, Peg Hutnik, Sue Schultz, Jean Lind, Darin Burleigh, Addi Faerber, Kaianna Obey

Also Dan McCormick from City of Madison Engineering, Paul Lenhart from Krupp Construction, and Mary Greuel from KL Engineering

UNIVERSITY CROSSING UPDATE

Traffic

Traffic modeling has come out. Paul Lenhart: KL has been working closely with Dan and City engineering for more than a month and a half, and they are here to present a workable solution: Mary presented a diagram of the current a proposed traffic plan. Proposed signal would be closely tied to Whitney Way signal (already a half signal). Hopefully this will be incorporated into the reconstruction next year. Question and answer about bus stops, traffic changes, right of way.

Stormwater

Paul has had several meetings with Herman on the issue. They are going to Plan Commission this coming Monday and would like an endorsement for all three neighborhood groups. Endorsements can be partial. Plan has been modified considering feedback from neighborhoods.

Six points of disagreement remain. Herman distributed a list via email and in the meeting. Greg wants to know why the disconnect remains. Paul replied that the dispute is not about cost, but rather than the design they are using is industry standard, and the recommended design isn't familiar. Frustrated that these decisions are being asked for so far ahead of time. Herman: City code standards don't anticipate that there are specific considerations on this site. Tom F: Pressure needs to be on the city, not the developer, who is confirming to city standards. Greg: Agreed, but we are too close to deadlines to not put pressure directly on developer. Paul: Krupp is a green developer, and it's difficult to figure out how to exceed expectations (because of the wellhead protection area). Asking for either conditional support or put an end to the project. Herman: We have always discussed supporting the general concept and development. Conditions have already been supplied.

Herman: Consider whether a deferral might be a good idea at this point. Paul: Would prefer not to defer, would like to break ground by the end of the year.

Janet: Not clear exactly what we would be approving. Should we pass a motion to endorse the project with conditions? Building height is one example. Concern is that UDC requirements are not currently included in the language of the motion.

Motion will come for a vote through email.

TREASURER'S REPORT / Bill Fitzpatrick

Looking good, tracking indicates on target for the end of the year.

SAFETY ISSUES

Good progress has been made, especially with regards to adults meeting each other and neighborhood kids. Biggest issue of concern is the under reporting of crime in the neighborhood.

NEWSLETTER

Articles are due on the 9/23.

NEXT MEETING

To be determined.